

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 21-cv-02449-KLM

GARY SCHWARTZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,

Defendant.

MINUTE ORDER

ENTERED BY MAGISTRATE JUDGE KRISTEN L. MIX

This matter comes before the Court on **Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion to Restrict Access to Docket No. 31 as a Level 1 Restriction** [#32] (the "Motion to Restrict") and **JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Reply to its Rule 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6) Partial Motion to Dismiss** [#36] (the "Motion for Extension").

As to the Motion to Restrict [#32], it was publicly posted in accordance with D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.2 to allow for any objections to the restriction of the document. No timely objections were filed. Pursuant to D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.2, the Court finds that the presumption of public access to Court files is outweighed by the parties' interest in privacy. Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Partial Motion to Dismiss [#31] contains information protected by a Stipulated Protective Order of the Receivership Court and an Order of the Denver District Court. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY **ORDERED** that the Motion to Restrict [#32] is **GRANTED**. The Clerk of the Court is directed to maintain Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Partial Motion to Dismiss [#31] **UNDER RESTRICTION at LEVEL 1**.

IT IS FURTHER **ORDERED** that the Motion for Extension [#36] is **GRANTED**. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has up to and including **December 9, 2021** to file its Reply to the Rule 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6) Partial Motion to Dismiss.

Dated: November 29, 2021