

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 21-cv-02449-KLM

GARY SCHWARTZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,

Defendant.

---

**MINUTE ORDER**

---

**ENTERED BY MAGISTRATE JUDGE KRISTEN L. MIX**

This matter comes before the Court on **JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.’s Unopposed Motion to Restrict Access to Dkt. No. 10 as a Level 1 Restriction** [#14] (the “Motion to Restrict”) and **JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.’s Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to the Complaint** [#15] (the “Motion for Extension”).

The Motion to Restrict [#14] was publicly posted in accordance with D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.2 to allow for any objections to the restriction of the document. No timely objections were filed. Pursuant to D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.2, the Court finds that the presumption of public access to Court files is outweighed by the parties’ interest in privacy. Per a Stipulated Protective Order of the Receivership Court and an Order of the Denver District Court, access to the Complaint is limited to the parties and the Court. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY **ORDERED** that the Motion [#14] is **GRANTED**. The Clerk of the Court is directed to maintain the Complaint [#10] **UNDER RESTRICTION at LEVEL 1**.

IT IS FURTHER **ORDERED** that the Motion for Extension [#15] is **GRANTED**. Defendant has up to and including **October 28, 2021** to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff’s Complaint.

Dated: September 22, 2021